

Democracy and Citizenship

Master's in Political Science
Academic Year 2019-2020 (Fall semester)
10 ECTS
Mondays & Wednesdays 15 to 17.30
Seminari D (B1/171)

Coordinator

Enrique Hernández
Office B3b/189
enrique.hernandez@uab.cat

Faculty

Department of Political Science, UAB:
John Etherington (john.etherington@uab.cat)
Carolina Galais (carolina.galais@uab.cat)
Enrique Hernández (enrique.hernandez@uab.cat)
Eva Østergaard (eva.ostergaard@uab.cat)

External guests:

Camilo Cristancho (Universitat de Barcelona)
Luca Bernardi (University of Liverpool)

Objectives

In many ways, political science can trace its origins back to the attempts by thinkers such as Plato and Aristotle to come to terms with the concepts of democracy and citizenship as they emerged in the Ancient Greek poleis, particularly that of Athens.

While modern liberal democracy functions in quite a different way from its ancient predecessor, the normative, theoretical and empirical preoccupations of the Ancients have continued to inform modern debates on democracy and citizenship, concerned as they are with questions such as regime change, political participation, citizen rights, and institutional arrangements. In addition, other questions, such as the increasing democratic demands of citizens, have become central to debates surrounding the functioning of liberal democratic systems.

The purpose of this module, then, is to present some of the main debates and approaches to understanding liberal democracy and citizenship as these have developed over time in the West, and to this end the module is divided into six parts. The first analyses the historical social, economic and institutional conditions that have allowed liberal democracy to develop and flourish in some places and not in others. The second part deals with key questions about democratic responsiveness and representation. The third part focuses on individuals' attitudes towards democracy and other forms of government. In the fourth, fifth, and sixth parts, we explore some challenges faced by contemporary democratic political systems such as declining political participation, external migration, and the effects of digital media on citizen engagement.

At the end of the module, students are expected to be able to demonstrate a thorough understanding of a wide range of theoretical, methodological and empirical approaches to the study of themes related to democracy and citizenship.

Preparatory Readings

For students new to Political Science, the following texts provide good background for some of the themes that will be dealt with in this module:

- Macpherson, C.W. (various editions) *The Life and Times of Liberal Democracy*. Oxford. OUP
- Held, D. (various editions) *Models of Democracy*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Diamond L. & M. F. Plattner, eds., (2009), *Democracy. A Reader*, Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Dahl, R.A., Shapiro, I., Cheibub J.A. (2003), eds., *The Democracy Sourcebook*, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England: The MIT Press. Available [here](#).

Module Contents

Introduction. Democracy and Citizenship: questions new and old (E. Hernández)

(2/10)

The aim of this introductory class is to give an overview of the study of democracy and citizenship in order to provide context for the themes that we shall be studying in this module. The session then presents the different parts of the module, before discussing the formal aspects involved.

Compulsory readings

- Course Syllabus
- Held, D. (2006). *Models of democracy* (3rd ed.). Cambridge: Polity. Introduction. Pages: 1-11
- *Lijphart, A., 1999. Patterns of democracy: government forms and performance in thirty-six countries.* Yale University Press, New Haven, CT Pages: 1-46

Part 1. The Emergence of Liberal Democracy in the West: social, economic and institutional contexts (J. Etherington) (6 sessions).

Organization of the Sessions (Part 1)

Sessions one and two will take the form of lectures, although student participation is strongly encouraged. As such, students are expected to prepare the set readings for the class. The remaining sessions will take the form of class discussions of the set texts, with questions set for each session to facilitate the preparation of the texts before each session.

1. Democracy and Citizenship: conceptual and historical considerations. (9/10)

Compulsory readings

- Macpherson, C.B. (1977) *The Life and Times of Liberal Democracy*. Oxford: OUP. Chapters 1, 2 and 3.
- Dahl, R. (1971) *Polyarchy: Participation and Opposition*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press. Chapters 1, 2 and 3.

This session is an introduction to one of the central concepts of this part of the module, namely democracy and its relationship with citizenship. We will analyse democracy and citizenship from a theoretical and an empirical point of view, from ancient Greece until the present day, with particular emphasis on liberal democracy.

2. Capitalism: definition, origins and development. (14/10)

Compulsory readings

- Marx, K. *Capital*. Volume 1. Chapters 26-33.
- Meiksins Wood, E. (2002) *The Origin of Capitalism: a longer view*. London: Verso. Introduction and chapters 1, 2 and 3.

The other key concept of these sessions is, of course, capitalism. How we understand capitalism has a direct consequence on both when we situate its emergence and development in time and how we relate it to democracy. In this session, we will discuss these themes with particular reference to historical materialist accounts.

3. The Social Origins of Liberal Democracy: Barrington Moore and The Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy. (16/10)

Compulsory readings

- Moore, Barrington (1966) *The Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy: Lord and Peasant in the Making of the Modern World*. Boston: Beacon Press. Chapters 1, 2, 3 and 7.

In this text, through the use of comparative historical analysis, Barrington Moore studies the social conditions that gave rise to democratic, communist and fascist regimes, focusing on the interaction between the rise of capitalism and the pre-existing agrarian regimes. The key social class in this transition is the bourgeoisie, although, as Moore shows, its existence is not a sufficient condition for the emergence of modern democratic citizenship.

4. Considerations on The Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy. (21/10)

Compulsory readings

- Skocpol, Theda (1984) “Emerging Agendas and Recurrent Strategies”, in Skocpol (ed.) *Vision and Method in Historical Sociology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Mahoney, J. and Rueschemeyer D. (2003) “Comparative Historical Analysis: Achievements and Agendas”, in Mahoney and Rueschemeyer (eds) *Comparative Historical Analysis in the Social Sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Given the profound effect that the *Social Origins* has had both on the substantive questions relating to the emergence of liberal democracy and on the methodologies of comparative historical analysis, in this session we draw out some of the main lessons from Moore's work by looking at the work of more recent scholars in this tradition.

5. Capitalism and the Rise of Liberal Democracy. (23/10)

Compulsory readings

- Therborn, Göran (1977) "The Rule of Capital and the Rise of Democracy", [*New Left Review* I/103, May-June pp. 3-41.](#)

In this class, our aim is to understand better the historical relationship between capitalism and liberal democracy, with particular reference to the nineteenth century. In this classic text, the Marxist scholar, Göran Therborn, seeks to answer the question as to why liberal 'democracy became the established form of bourgeois rule under advanced capitalism' (p.8), when the bourgeoisie was clearly a minority.

6. Capitalist Development and Democracy. (28/10)

Compulsory readings

- Rueschemeyer, D., Huber Stephens, E. and Stephens, J.D. (1992) *Capitalist Development and Democracy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Chapters 1-3.

While in previous classes our analysis of democracy has centred on specific moments in history, this text offers a broader historical and geographical scope, and analyses the relationship between capitalist development and liberal democracy in the West, South and Central America and the Caribbean. By using comparative historical analysis, the authors are able to go beyond the correlations established by cross-national statistical analysis, and are thus able to explain the specific causal mechanisms involved in the relationship between capitalist development and democracy. They argue that this relationship is not automatic, but rather depends on a complex interface of power relations between classes, between the state and civil society and between economic and political actors.

Part 2. Representation and responsiveness (Luca Bernardi) (3 sessions)

1. Approaches to Dynamic Representation (4/11)

Compulsory readings

- Wlezien, Christopher. "Dynamic Representation." In *Oxford Handbook of Political Representation in Liberal Democracies*, eds. Robert Rohrschneider and Jacques Thomassen. Under contract at Oxford University Press, pp. 1-24. Typescript.
- Adams, James. 2012. "Causes and Electoral Consequences of Party Policy Shifts in Multiparty Elections: Theoretical Results and Empirical Evidence." *Annual Review of Political Science* 15(1): 401–419.

Additional readings

- Bevan, Shaun, and Will Jennings. 2014. "Representation, agendas and institutions." *European Journal of Political Research* 53(1): 37–56.
- Stimson, James A., Michael B. Mackuen, and Robert S. Erikson. 1995. "Dynamic Representation." *The American Political Science Review* 89(3): 543–565.

2. What Factor Condition Responsiveness? (6/11)

Compulsory readings

- Rasmussen, Anne, Stefanie Reher, and Dimiter Toshkov. 2018. "The opinion-policy nexus in Europe and the role of political institutions." *European Journal of Political Research*.
- Bernardi, Luca, Laura Morales, Maarja Lühiste, and Daniel Bischof. 2018. "The effects of the Fukushima disaster on nuclear energy debates and policies: A two-step comparative examination." *Environmental Politics* 27(1): 42–68.

Additional readings

- Rasmussen, Anne, and Stefanie Reher. 2019. "Civil Society Engagement and Policy Representation in Europe." *Comparative Political Studies*.
- Neuner, Fabian G., Stuart N. Soroka, and Christopher Wlezien. 2019. "Mass Media as a Source of Public Responsiveness." *International Journal of Press/Politics* 24(3): 269–292.

3. Unequal Responsiveness and Representation (8/11)

Compulsory readings

- Alexander Branham, J., Stuart N. Soroka, and Christopher Wlezien. 2017. "When do the rich win?" *Political Science Quarterly* 132(1): 43–62
- Peters, Yvette, and Sander J. Ensink. 2015. "Differential Responsiveness in Europe: The Effects of Preference Difference and Electoral Participation." *West European Politics* 38(3): 577–600.

Additional readings

- Pacheco, Julianna, and Christopher Ojeda. 2019. "A Healthy Democracy? Evidence of Unequal Representation Across Health Status." *Political Behavior*.
- Reher, Stefanie. 2018. "Gender and opinion-policy congruence in Europe." *European Political Science Review* 10(4): 613–635

Part 3. Does Democracy Really Work? The citizens' perspective (E. Hernández) (6 sessions)

Organization of the Sessions (Part 3)

All students are expected to have read and prepared the compulsory readings before coming to class and to take an active part in the sessions. All sessions will take the form of class discussions of the set texts. Each student will act as a discussion leader in one of the sessions (3 students per session). In addition to the compulsory readings, discussion leaders must read the additional readings. **24 hours before each session discussion leaders must send to enrique.hernandez@uab.cat 4/5 questions for in-class discussion/debate.** These questions should be based on the readings. The questions can refer to any aspect of the readings: points that are not clear in the text, criticism of some aspect of the readings, the implications of the findings for the functioning of democracy...

1. Studying citizens' attitudes towards democracy: the concept of political support. (11/11)

Compulsory readings

- Almond, G.A., Verba, S., 1963. The civic culture: political attitudes and democracy in five nations, Abridged. ed, Little, Brown series in comparative politics, analytic studies. Little, Brown, Boston, Mass, [chapter 1](#).
- Norris, P., 2011. Democratic deficit: Critical citizens revisited. Cambridge University Press, New York. [chapter 2](#)
- Easton, David 1975. A Re-Assessment of the Concept of Political Support, *British Journal of Political Science* 5: 435-457.

2. Support for democracy and growing discontent: Is democracy still the only game in town? (13/11)

Compulsory readings

- Norris, P., 2011. Democratic deficit: Critical citizens revisited. Cambridge University Press, New York. [chapters 4 & 5](#)
- Foa, R.S., Mounk, Y., 2017. The Signs of Deconsolidation. *Journal of Democracy* 28, 5–15.

Additional readings

- Svoblik, M. W. (2019). Polarization versus Democracy. *Journal of Democracy*, 30(3), 20–32.
- Shin, D.C., Kim, H.J., 2018. How Global Citizenries Think about Democracy: An Evaluation and Synthesis of Recent Public Opinion Research. *Japanese Journal of Political Science* 19, 222–249.

3. A changing paradigm: From allegiant to critical/assertive citizens. (15/11)

Compulsory readings

- Dalton, R.J., Welzel, C., 2014. Political Culture and Value Change, in: Dalton, R.J., Welzel, C. (Eds.), *The Civic Culture Transformed: From Allegiant to Assertive Citizens*. Cambridge University Press.
- Dalton, R.J., Shin, D.C., 2014. Reassessing the Civic Culture Model, in: Dalton, R.J., Welzel, C. (Eds.), *The Civic Culture Revisited: From Allegiant to Assertive Citizens*. Cambridge University Press., Cambridge.
- Qi, L., Shin, D.C., 2011. How mass political attitudes affect democratization: Exploring the facilitating role critical democrats play in the process. *International Political Science Review* 32, 245–262

Additional readings

- Claassen, C., (*Forthcoming*). Does Public Support Help Democracy Survive? *American Journal of Political Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12452>

4. The long-term impact of institutions: Historical legacies. (18/11)

Compulsory readings

- Fuchs, D., 1999. The democratic culture of unified Germany, in: Norris, P. (Ed.), *Critical Citizens: Global Support for Democratic Government*. Oxford University Press, New York
- Mishler, W., Rose, R., 2002. Learning and re-learning regime support: The dynamics of post-communist regimes. *European Journal of Political Research* 41, 5–36.
- Lechler, M., McNamee, L., (*Forthcoming*). Indirect Colonial Rule Undermines Support for Democracy: Evidence From a Natural Experiment in Namibia. *Comparative Political Studies*

Additional readings

- Mattes, R., Bratton, M., 2007. Learning about Democracy in Africa: Awareness, Performance, and Experience. *American Journal of Political Science* 51, 192–217.
- Kern, H.L., Hainmueller, J., 2009. Opium for the Masses: How Foreign Media Can Stabilize Authoritarian Regimes. *Political Analysis* 17, 377–399

5. The short-term impact of institutional performance: corruption, the economy, and winners and losers. (20/11)

Compulsory readings

- Anderson, C.J., Guillory, C.A., 1997. Political institutions and satisfaction with democracy: A cross-national analysis of consensus and majoritarian systems. *American Political Science Review* 91, 66–81.
- Anderson, C.J., Tverdova, Y.V., 2003. Corruption, Political Allegiances, and Attitudes Toward Government in Contemporary Democracies. *American Journal of Political Science* 47, 91–109.
- Armingeon, K., Guthmann, K., 2014. Democracy in crisis? The declining support for national democracy in European countries, 2007–2011. *European Journal of Political Research* 53, 423–442.

Additional readings

- Singer, M., (*Forthcoming*). Delegating Away Democracy: How Good Representation and Policy Successes Can Undermine Democratic Legitimacy. *Comparative Political Studies*

6. Democratic discontent and the rise of challenger parties: Cause or symptom? (25/11)

Compulsory readings

- Bélanger, É., Nadeau, R., 2005. Political trust and the vote in multiparty elections: The Canadian case. *European Journal of Political Research* 44, 121–146.
- Rooduijn, M., van der Brug, W., de Lange, S.L., 2016. Expressing or fuelling discontent? The relationship between populist voting and political discontent. *Electoral Studies* 43, 32–40.
- Hernández, E., 2018. Democratic discontent and support for mainstream and challenger parties: Democratic protest voting. *European Union Politics*

Additional readings

- Voogd, R., Dassonneville, R. (2018). Are the Supporters of Populist Parties Loyal Voters? Dissatisfaction and Stable Voting for Populist Parties. *Government and Opposition*, 1–22
- Hooghe, M., Dassonneville, R., 2016. A Spiral of Distrust: A Panel Study on the Relation between Political Distrust and Protest Voting in Belgium. *Government and Opposition* 1–27. doi:10.1017/gov.2016.18

Part 4. Democracy and Political Participation (Carol Galais) (4 sessions)

Organization of the Sessions (Part 4)

All students are expected to have read and prepared the compulsory readings before coming to class and to take an active part in the sessions. All sessions will start with a short lecture that complements (not substitutes) the compulsory readings.

After the lecture, the discussion leaders will present the compulsory readings –using a slide presentation or a similar method- and will end with a series of questions for the audience (3/4). This means that three students must "volunteer" in advance to present these readings. The questions, based on the readings, can refer to methodological aspects of the readings (how this coefficient should be interpreted? Is this indicator appropriate?), theoretical (what do you think about the causal mechanism suggested in the reading?), criticisms (would you have done things differently?) or implications (what is the relevance of this finding?). A short discussion is expected to happen after each reading presentation.

1. Types (modes) of political participation (27/11)

Compulsory readings

- Van Deth, J. W. (2014). *A conceptual map of political participation. Acta Politica, 49(3), 349-367.*
- Ekman, J., & Amnå, E. (2012). Political participation and civic engagement: Towards a new typology. *Human affairs, 22(3), 283-300.*
- Alvarez, R. M., Levin, I., & Núñez, L. (2017). The four faces of political participation in Argentina: Using latent class analysis to study political behavior. *The Journal of Politics, 79(4), 1386-1402.*

Additional readings

- Oser, J. (2017). Assessing how participators combine acts in their “political tool kits”: A person-centered measurement approach for analyzing citizen participation. *Social indicators research, 133(1), 235-258.*
- Vissers, S., & Stolle, D. (2014). The Internet and new modes of political participation: online versus offline participation. *Information, Communication & Society, 17(8), 937-955.*

2. To vote or not to vote. Theories on electoral political participation (2/12)

Compulsory readings

- Denny, K., & Doyle, O. (2008). Political interest, cognitive ability and personality: Determinants of voter turnout in Britain. *British Journal of Political Science, 38(2), 291-310.*
- Geys, B. (2006). Explaining voter turnout: A review of aggregate-level research. *Electoral studies, 25(4), 637-663*
- Gerber, A. S., Green, D. P., & Larimer, C. W. (2008). Social pressure and voter turnout: Evidence from a large-scale field experiment. *American political Science review, 102(1), 33-48.*

Additional readings

- Blais, A. (2006). *What affects voter turnout?. Annu. Rev. Polit. Sci., 9, 111-125.*
- Harder, J., & Krosnick, J. A. (2008). Why do people vote? A psychological analysis of the causes of voter turnout. *Journal of Social Issues, 64(3), 525-549.*
- Blais, A., & ST-VINCENT, S. L. (2011). Personality traits, political attitudes and the propensity to vote. *European Journal of Political Research, 50(3), 395-417.*
- Dahl, V., Amnå, E., Banaji, S., Landberg, M., Šerek, J., Ribeiro, N., ... & Zani, B. (2018). Apathy or alienation? Political passivity among youths across eight European Union countries. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology, 15(3), 284-301.*
- Gallego, A. (2010). Understanding unequal turnout: Education and voting in comparative perspective. *Electoral Studies, 29(2), 239-248.*

3. Protest. Who, How, Why, What for. (4/12)

Compulsory readings

- Torcal, M., Rodon, T., & Hierro, M. J. (2016). Word on the street: The persistence of leftist-dominated protest in Europe. *West European Politics*, 39(2), 326-350.
- Van Leeuwen, A., Klandermans, B., & van Stekelenburg, J. (2015). A study of perceived protest atmospheres: how demonstrators evaluate police-demonstrator interactions and why. *Mobilization: An International Quarterly*, 20(1), 81-100.
- Wouters, R., & Walgrave, S. (2017). Demonstrating power: How protest persuades political representatives. *American Sociological Review*, 82(2), 361-383.

Additional readings

- Ratliff, T. N., & Hall, L. L. (2014). Practicing the art of dissent: Toward a typology of protest activity in the United States. *Humanity & Society*, 38(3), 268-294.
- Klandermans, B., van Stekelenburg, J., & Walgrave, S. (2014). Comparing street demonstrations. *International Sociology*, 29(6), 493-503.
- Stockemer, D. (2014). What drives unconventional political participation? A two level study. *The Social Science Journal*, 51(2), 201-211.

4. Attitudes and political participation. Interest, trust and duty (9/12)

Compulsory readings

- Catterberg, G., & Moreno, A. (2006). The individual bases of political trust: Trends in new and established democracies. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 18(1), 31-48.
- Levy, B. L., Solomon, B. G., & Collet-Gildard, L. (2016). Fostering political interest among youth during the 2012 presidential election: Instructional opportunities and challenges in a swing state. *Educational Researcher*, 45(9), 483-495.
- Galais, C., & Blais, A. (2016). Beyond rationalization: Voting out of duty or expressing duty after voting?. *International Political Science Review*, 37(2), 213-229.

Additional readings

- Dalton, R. J. (2008). Citizenship norms and the expansion of political participation. *Political studies*, 56(1), 76-98.
- Christensen, H. S. (2016). Political Dissatisfactions and Citizen Involvement: Political Participation in Europe during the Early Stages of the Economic Crisis. *Partecipazione e Conflitto*, 9(1), 19-45.
- Braun, D., & Hutter, S. (2016). Political trust, extra-representational participation and the openness of political systems. *International Political Science Review*, 37(2), 151-165.
- Blais, A., & Achen, C. H. (2017). Civic Duty and Voter Turnout. *Political Behavior*, 1-25.
- Copeland, L. (2014). Value change and political action: Postmaterialism, political consumerism, and political participation. *American Politics Research*, 42(2), 257-282.

Part 5. Democracy, citizenship and international migration (E. Østergaard) (3 sessions)

Organization of the Sessions (Part 5)

These three sessions address the impact of migration on citizenship and democracy in migrant countries of residence and origin. The sessions will be a mix of introductions by the teacher and student led discussions. Students are expected to read the assigned compulsory texts and prepare a short summary of a debate, research or text before each class. More specific guidelines and questions for each session will be posted before we begin this part.

1. Migration and citizenship in countries of residence. (11/12)

Compulsory readings:

- Joppke, C. (2017), 'Citizenship in Immigration States', in Shachar, A., Bauböck, R., Bloemraad, I., Vink, M. (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Citizenship*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp 384-404.
- Koopmans, R., Michalowski I., and Waibel, S. (2012), 'Citizenship Rights for Immigrants: National Political Processes and Cross-National Convergence in Western Europe, 1980–2008', *American Journal of Sociology*, 117:4, pp 1202-1245
- Baböck, Rainer (ed), (2018), *Debating European Citizenship*, selected debates, [.https://www.springer.com/us/book/9783319899046#aboutAuthors](https://www.springer.com/us/book/9783319899046#aboutAuthors) (open access from October 2018).

Additional reading resources:

- Huddleston, T. et al. (2015), *Migrant Integration Policy Index, 2015*, Barcelona/ Brussels: CIDOB and MPG, Access to Nationality pp s 57-62, <http://mipex.eu/sites/default/files/downloads/files/mipex-2015-book-a5.pdf>

2. Transnational voting rights, (16/12)

Compulsory readings:

- Caramani, D. and Grotz, F., (2015), 'Beyond citizenship and residence? Exploring the extension of voting rights in the age of globalization', *Democratization*, 5, pp. 799-819.
- Lafleur, J-M. (2012), 'Why do states enfranchise citizens abroad? Comparative insights from Italy, Mexico and Belgium', *Global Networks*, 11:4, 481-501

Additional readings:

- Brand, L. (2014) 'Arab uprisings and the changing frontiers of transnational citizenship: Voting from abroad in political transitions', *Political Geography*, 41, pp. 54-63.
- Burgess, K. (2018) 'States or Parties? Emigrant outreach and transnational engagement', *International Political Science Review*, 29: 3, pp. 369-383.

3. Migration and democratization in countries of origin (18/12)

Compulsory readings

- Kapur, D. (2014), 'Political Effects of International Migration', *Annual Review of Political Science*, 17, pp. 479–502.

Additional readings

- Escriba-Folch A. et al, Meseguer C., Wright, J. (2018), Remittances and protest in dictatorships, *American Journal of Political Science*.
- Pfütze, T (2012) Does migration promote democratization? Evidence from the Mexican Transition, *Journal of Comparative Economics* 40 (2012) 159–175
- Batista, Cátia; Vicente, Pedro C. (2010) Do migrants improve governance at home? Evidence from a voting experiment, *IZA Discussion Papers*, No. 4688
- Pérez-Armendáriz, C. (2014), 'Cross-Border Discussions and Political Behavior in Migrant-Sending Countries', *Studies of Comparative International Development*, 49:pp. 67–88.
- Careja, R and Emmenegger, P. Making Democratic Citizens: The Effects of Migration

Experience on Political Attitudes in Central and Eastern Europe, *Comparative Political Studies* 45(7) 875 –902

Part 6. Citizenship and Digital Media (Camilo Cristancho) (2 sessions)

Organization of the Sessions (Part 6)

These two sessions explore the changes to the concept and practice of citizenship since the broad adoption of the internet in political communication to the most recent uses and controversies regarding social media. They focus on political behavior in relation with the potential effects of digital media use.

All students are expected to thoroughly read the compulsory readings before coming to class in order to participate actively in the session. Students are encouraged to prepare questions or comments related to the readings or their implications for in-class discussion/debate and exercises.

1. Political engagement and the internet (13/01)

Compulsory readings

- Neuman, W. R., Bimber, B., & Hindman, M. (2011). The Internet and four dimensions of citizenship. *The Oxford handbook of American public opinion and the media*, 22-42.
- Skoric, M. M., Zhu, Q., Goh, D., & Pang, N. (2016). Social media and citizen engagement: A meta-analytic review. *New Media & Society*, 18(9), 1817-1839.

Additional readings

- Anduiza, E., Cantijoch, M., & Gallego, A. (2009). Political Participation and the Internet: A field essay. *Information, Communication & Society*, 12(6), 860. doi:10.1080/13691180802282720
- Theocharis, Y., & Lowe, W. (2016). Does Facebook increase political participation? Evidence from a field experiment. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(10), 1465-1486.
- Boulianne, S. (2015). Social media use and participation: A meta-analysis of current research. *Information, Communication & Society*, 18(5), 524-538.
- Loader, B. D., & Mercea, D. (2011). Networking democracy? Social media innovations and participatory politics. *Information, Communication & Society*, 14(6), 757-769.
- Cantijoch, M., Cutts, D., & Gibson, R. (2016). Moving Slowly up the Ladder of Political Engagement: A 'Spill-over' Model of Internet Participation. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 18(1), 26-48.
- Bond, R. M., Fariss, C. J., Jones, J. J., Kramer, A. D. I., Marlow, C., Settle, J. E., & Fowler, J. H. (2012). A 61-million-person experiment in social influence and political mobilization. *Nature*, 489(7415), 295-8.

2. Social media and contentious politics (15/01)

Compulsory readings

- Dolata, U., & Schrape, J.-F. (2015). Masses, Crowds, Communities, Movements: Collective Action in the Internet Age. *Social Movement Studies*, 2837(February), 1-18.
- Zhuravskaya, Ekaterina and Petrova, Maria and Enikolopov, Ruben, Political Effects of the Internet and Social Media (August 15, 2019). Forthcoming, Annual Review of Economics. DOI/10.1146/annurev-economics-081919-050239. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3439957>
- Bennett, L. W. & Segerberg, A. (2012) The Logic of Connective Action, *Information, Communication & Society*, Vol 15, No. 5

Additional Reading

- Enikolopov, R., Makarin, A., & Petrova, M. (2015). Social Media and Protest Participation: Evidence from Russia. Available at SSRN 2696236
- Bradshaw, S., & Howard, P. N. (2018). Challenging truth and trust: A global inventory of organized social media manipulation. The Computational Propaganda Project.

- Shirky, C. (2011). Political Power of Social Media-Technology, the Public Sphere, and Political Change, *The Foreign Aff.*, 90, 28
- Gil de Zúñiga, H., Jung, N., & Valenzuela, S. (2012). Social media use for news and individuals' social capital, civic engagement and political participation. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 17(3), 319-336.
- Anduiza, E., Cristancho, C. & Sabucedo J.M. (2014) Mobilization through online social networks: the political protest of the *indignados* in Spain, *Information Communication & Society* Volume 17, Issue 6, pp. 750-764
- Fenton, N. (2015). Left out? Digital media, radical politics and social change. *Information, Communication & Society*, 4462(February), 1–16.

Evaluation

In this module, the main emphasis is on continuous assessment in order to ensure that the different dimensions and concepts related to the sessions are taken on board by students as we progress through the module. This is complemented by a final essay. Evaluation is based on the following criteria:

- Capacity to synthesize the relevant literature
- Capacity to critically evaluate the relevant literature
- Coherence of the argument
- Originality
- Formal aspects, with special reference to correct citation and academic style

The evaluation is divided into the following elements:

- In-class participation (10%):** Students are expected to have prepared the assigned readings before coming to class and to take an active part in the sessions. **It is compulsory to attend to a minimum of 80% of the sessions in order to pass this module.**
- Short essays (50%):** During the term students will write **3 short essays** (max 1200 words each). Students must write one short essay for Part 1 and one short essay for Part 3. For the remaining essay students can choose for which part they want to write the short essay (Part 4 or Part 5). **Please note that it is not possible to write a short essay for Parts 2 and 6.** The questions for the short essays will be posted in the Campus Virtual before the end of each part of the module. The dates for handing in the essays are the following:
 - Part 1: Deadline November 6 (Questions posted October 28)
 - Part 3: Deadline December 3 (Questions posted November 25)
 - Part 4: Deadline December 28 (Questions posted December 9)
 - Part 5: Deadline December 28 (Questions posted December 18)
- Final essay (40%):** At the end of the course the instructors will post a set of research questions related to each part of the module. Students will have to answer **one** of these questions in an essay of not more than 2500 words. The questions will be published on January 15th and the final essay **must be handed in by January 26th.**

Plagiarism: Plagiarism will not be tolerated under any circumstances. Professor will actively seek for potential cases of plagiarism, and anti-plagiarism software will be used to analyze every graded submission. Plagiarism in any written piece will entail a fail in the final mark of the module where plagiarism is committed. For further information check Section 9 of the [Student's Guide](#). See also these useful guidelines from [MIT](#) and [Oxford University](#).

Feedback: Comments on work will be available three weeks at the latest after submission. Please do not hesitate to contact the professors for this feedback.

Submission: Please submit your short essays and final essay through the Campus Virtual tasks section, where **all graded submissions will be analyzed by anti-plagiarism software.**

Grading: All submissions will be graded with a numeric grade ranging from 0 to 10, being 10 the best grade.

Late submissions policy: A -1 point grade penalty will be applied for each day that a student is late with a graded submission.

Calendar

Session		Day	Topic	
1	EH	October 2	Introduction	
	Reading day (No class)	October 7		
2	JE	October 9	Liberal Democracy and Capitalism in Historical Perspective	Part 1
3	JE	October 14		
4	JE	October 16		
5	JE	October 21		
6	JE	October 23		
7	JE	October 28		
	Reading day (No class)	October 30		
8	LB	November 4	Responsiveness and representation	Part 2
9	LB	November 6		
10	LB	November 8		
11	EH	November 11	Does Democracy Really Work? The citizens' perspective	Part 3
12	EH	November 13		
13	EH	November 15		
14	EH	November 18		
15	EH	November 20		
16	EH	November 25		
17	CG	November 27	Democracy and Political Participation	Part 4
18	CG	December 2		
19	CG	December 4		
20	CG	December 9		
21	EO	December 11	Democracy, citizenship and international migration	Part 5
22	EO	December 16		
23	EO	December 18		
24	CC	January 13	Citizenship and Digital Media	Part 6
25	CC	January 15		

EH	Enrique Hernández
EO	Eva Ostergaard
LB	Luca Bernardi
JE	John Etherington
CC	Camilo Cristancho
CG	Carol Galais

Useful Data Sources for Students

[Freedom House \(FH\): Freedom in the World; Freedom of the Press; Freedom on the Net](#)

[Economist Intelligence Unit \(EUI\): Democracy Index](#)

[Polity IV](#)

[World Bank: Worldwide Governance Indicators \(WGI\)](#)

[Bertelsmann Stiftung: Bertelsmann Transformation Index \(BTI\)](#)

[Quality of Government Institute: various datasets](#)

Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA): [State of Democracy Assessments and Voter Turnout Database](#)

[United Nations Development Programme \(UNDP\): Human Development Index \(HDI\)](#)

[Democracy Ranking](#)

[Democracy Barometer](#)

[Varieties of Democracy \(V-Dem\)](#)

[Arend Lijphart's Patterns of Democracy](#)

[Vanhanen's Index of Democracy](#)

[Pippa Norris' various datasets](#)

[European Social Survey \(ESS\)](#)

[World Value Survey \(WVS\)](#)

[Comparative Agendas Project](#)

[Eurobarometer Data through GESIS](#)

[Eurobarometer Interactive System](#)

[Latinobarómetro](#)

[Asian Barometer](#)